

Integration of Indonesian Coffee Markets with World Coffee Markets

by Haposan Orlando Napitupulu, Ana Arifatus Sa'diyah, Farah Mutiara

Submission date: 20-Sep-2023 10:08PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2171644685

File name: admin,_Journal_manager,_2._Ana_Arifatus_Sa_diyah.pdf (997.14K)

Word count: 6536

Character count: 33855



Integration of Indonesian coffee markets and world coffee markets

Haposan Orlando Napitupulu¹, Ana Arifatus Sa'diyah^{1*}, Farah Mutiara¹

¹Departement of Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tribhuwana Tunggaladewi, Malang, Indonesia

*E-mail: ana.arifatus@unitri.ac.id

18

Abstract. This study aims to analyze the integration of the Arabica and Robusta coffee markets in Indonesia and world coffee prices. The study uses secondary data in the form of annual time series data from 1985-2015. The study uses the VECM analysis method. This method explains the relationship between long-term dynamic and short-term equilibrium in a system of equations. The analysis shows that Indonesian and world Arabica coffee did not integrate into the long term or the short term. In Robusta coffee, VECM estimation shows that there is the significant at the 10% level in a long-term relationship with a value of 0.086. It means that there is a short-term relationship between world Robusta coffee prices and domestic Robusta coffee prices in the previous year, but no relationship in the long run.

Keywords: coffee, market integration, VAR/VECM

INTRODUCTION

19

Coffee (*Coffea* sp.) is one of the most important commodities in the world. Coffee is widely cultivated in various countries. Indonesia is the third-largest coffee producing country in the world and as the fourth largest coffee supplier in the world, along with Brazil, Colombia, and Vietnam (Susilowati (2007), McCook (2019), Masri (2019), Fitriana (2019). Coffee is also the third-largest agricultural sub-sector commodity after wood and rubber, which has an important role as a foreign exchange earner (Sembiring et al., 2008, Meiri et al., 2014), Grabs, (2020).

Indonesian coffee production is mostly to meet foreign market demand. Based on data (Indonesian Coffee Exporters Association, 2016), until 2005, the domestic coffee market only absorbed about 33 percent of the total coffee production, the rest was for export (67% of Indonesian coffee production). The need for coffee is increasing along with the development of world coffee consumption which shows a positive trend in recent years. Coffee consumption in the world also increased with growth of 2.3 percent per year in 2011-2014 (ICO, 2015). The increase in world coffee consumption shows that the market for coffee commodities in the international scope can still develop and become a potential for Indonesia's coffee export market (Kustiari, 2016, Sudjarmoko, 2013, Wahyudi et al., 2020, Lourenzani et al., 2020, and Torga & Spers, 2020).

The target market for Indonesian coffee commodities is still relying on export markets that are spread in various major cities in developed countries including Japan, the United States, Germany, Italy, and the Netherlands because consumption per capita in the country is still very low and growth is also low, while in overseas consumer centers consumption growth seems to be quite steady (de Lima, L. M., et al. (2020), Smith & Todaro (2020). Thus, price changes on world and domestic markets have a close relationship and may even influence each other, because the price that will be received by the exporter will be the basis for determining the price to be paid to intermediary traders and ultimately to the producers (Chai et al. (2019), Amity et al. (2019), and Jiang et al. (2019). Furthermore, the price received by farmers will determine how much volume of coffee production will be sold to the market or to intermediary traders or export traders. If the price received is satisfactory, the production offered to the market will increase, and vice versa. In an efficient market structure,

every change that occurs in one node of the slightest change will propagate to the next node in the commodity supply chain (Hutabarat, 2016, Atmadji et al., 2019).

The target market for Indonesian coffee commodities is still relying on export markets that are spread in major cities in developed countries, including Japan, the United States, Germany, Italy, and the Netherlands, because consumption per capita in the country itself is the same still very low. Growth is also low, while in overseas consumer centre, consumption growth seems to be quite steady. Thus, price changes on the world and domestic markets have a close relationship and may even influence each other, because the price that will be received by the exporter will be the basis for determining the price to be paid to intermediary traders and ultimately to the producers, or vice versa. Furthermore, the price received by farmers will determine how much volume of coffee production will be sold to the market or intermediary traders or export traders. If the price received is satisfactory, the production offered to the market will increase, and vice versa. In an efficient market structure, every change that occurs in one node of the slightest change will propagate to the next node in the commodity supply chain.

Various tropical coffee-producing countries are competing fiercely in world markets to maintain their presence in the hearts of consumers at major market centres through the aggressive assistance and promotion of retailers or roasters at consumer centres. In a market context like this, Indonesian coffee has to compete with other coffee-producing countries. However, according to various experts, Indonesian coffee has unique characteristics and variety. However, the network, market power, and economy of retailers seem to have been able to some extent replace, mix, engineer and create "authentic" coffee flavors in such a way with new product flavors and images, so consumers are not so fanatical about the taste of real coffee, but switch to artificial flavors wrapped in imagery and unique attributes (Hardianti, 2016). This is supported by technology and coffee processing machines that are developing rapidly in developed countries. If the consumer market (end or between) coffee is not integrated with the producer market, then the imbalance between the prices paid by consumers in consumer centres in foreign markets and the prices received by farmers producing coffee in producing countries will widen so that the development of coffee production in Indonesia will be in vain. For this reason, information and identification of the orientation and response of the Indonesian coffee market to various world consumer markets are important for providing input for policy formulation and steps to improve national coffee.

Research on market integration has often been carried out in various countries, among others by Baulch (1997), Fafchamps (1992), Gardner & Brooks (1994), Van Campenhout (2007), Rapsomanikis (2019), Jiang et al. (2019), Lourenzani et al. (2020), dan Torga & Spers (2020) with a variety of foods, namely corn, oil palm, onion, garlic, and others. In Indonesia, coffee market research integration is still rarely found. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the integration of the Arabica and Robusta coffee markets in Indonesia with world coffee prices and their implications for the formation of coffee prices at the farm level. From this information, it is hoped that the right policy can be taken to improve the bargaining position of farmers in the process of determining prices so that farmers.

METHODS

18. Data source

The data used in this study are secondary in the form of annual time series data from 1985 - 2015. Data sources were obtained from several related agencies, such as the Directorate General of Plantations, the Central Statistics Agency, International Coffee Organization (ICO), and publications in books and journals. The data taken includes the price data of Arabica coffee and Robusta coffee domestically and the world.

3.2. Market Integration Analysis

Market integration analysis is carried out to see how far the formation of domestic coffee prices is influenced by world level prices. The data used in the form of time series data annual prices of Arabica and Robusta coffee in the year 1985-2015 in the country and world prices. Analysis of market integration in this study refers to the model developed by (Ravallion, 1986):

$$R = f(P_1, P_2, P_3, ..., P_n, X_i) \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$P_i = f_i(R, X_i), i = 2, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

In this case, n the domestic market with the price P , R is the marketable market price (reference market). X_i is a seasonal factor and other factors that might affect prices in market i (including leading markets and markets in other countries). Because equations (1) and (2) only measure prices at present, including the effect of time lag on prices will provide a more dynamic structure. However, if the lag period is too long, the model will be complicated, so it is assumed that the price in each market has only one lag phase. More specifically, the Ravallion model equation in this study can be written as follows:

$$P_t = a_i P_{t-1} + b_i 0 R_t + b_i 1 R_{t-1} + c_i X_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (3)$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ Equation (3) is sensitive to the occurrence of multicollinearity when domestic market prices and references are strongly correlated. Suppose in the form of the first difference (first difference) will reduce the influence of multicollinearity because $(R_t - R_{t-1})$ and $(P_t - P_{t-1})$ are usually weakly correlated compared to R_t and P_t . This transformation will produce:

$$P_t - P_{t-1} = a_i P_{t-1} - P_{t-1} + b_i 0 R_t + b_i 1 R_{t-1} + c_i X_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (4)$$

If it is assumed that the time series in the local market (P) and the reference market (R) have the same seasonal pattern, so there is no need to enter dummy variables for seasonality (X_t). Then the equation becomes:

$$P_t - P_{t-1} = a_i P_{t-1} - P_{t-1} + b_i 0 R_t + b_i 1 R_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (5)$$

Then simplified to

$$P_t = b_1 P_{t-1} + b_2 (R_t - R_{t-1}) + b_3 R_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (6)$$

To obtain unbiased results, time-series data regression is performed to detect whether Spurious Regression occurs, such as:

$$P_{int1} = \alpha + \beta P_d + \varepsilon_t$$

If P_{int1} and P_d has a unit root or P_{int1} and P_d is not stationary, OLS estimation produces spurious regression. According to Granger and Newbold if $R^2 > d$ (Durbin Watson), it can be assumed that regression estimation has a spurious problem Uji Stasioner Data (Unit Root Test)

Time series price data are generally not stationary. Non-stationary data will produce spurious regression or regression that does not reveal the truth. If this pseudo regression is applied, it will result in inaccurate analysis results and inappropriate policymaking. The stationarity test in this study uses the Augmented Dickey-Fuller unit root test (ADF-test). With the test formulation as follows:

$$\Delta P_{int1} = \alpha + \beta P_d + \varepsilon_t \quad (7)$$

where in P_{int1} is the first difference from P_{int1} , assuming that the time-series data before making the first difference are non-stationary. However, stationarity testing will still be carried out on the initial data before differentiation

The test hypothesis is:

$$H_0: \gamma = 0 \text{ (the data is not stationary)}$$

$$H_1: \gamma < 0 \text{ (the data is stationary)}$$

If the absolute value $t_{statistik} > \text{absolute value } t_{table}$ in the Dickey-Fuller Table, then the decision taken is to reject H_0 which means the data is stationary. If the absolute value $t_{statistik} < \text{absolute value } t_{table}$ then the data is not stationary.

1. Optimal Lag Test

Optimal lag length is needed to see each variable's effect on other variables in the VAR model. The value of the lag of a variable can affect other variables because it takes time for a variable to respond to other variables' movement. Determination of the optimal lag length can use several criteria, namely: Information Information Criteria (AIC), Schwartz Information Criteria (SIC), Hannan-Quinn Crit. (HQ), Likelihood Ratio (LR), and Final Prediction Error (FPE). Determination of the optimal lag length in this study uses the optimal lag test results with the criteria for FPE, AIC, SC, smallest HQ, and the largest LR, or can be indicated by the most asterisks (*) in the analysis results.

2. Cointegration Test

Cointegration Test in this study uses the Johansen cointegration test. This test is used to see the number of cointegration (rank cointegration) between variables (Rosadi, 2012). The model used to test the cointegration of the price variable is as follows:

$$Y_t = \alpha + \beta X_t + U_t \quad (8)$$

Where:

Y_t = price of Arabica coffee in the domestic market in period t. (\$/kg)

X_t = price of Robusta coffee in the domestic market in period t. (\$/kg)

U_t = standart deviation (error term)

α = intercep

β = parameter coefficient

3. Granger Causality Test

Granger Causality Test is performed to see whether two variables have a reciprocal relationship or not. In other words, does one variable have a causal relationship with other variables, because every variable in the study has the opportunity to become endogenous or exogenous variables.

4. Vector Error Correction Model Test (VECM)

VECM is used in the model if the time series data is not stationary in level. However, stationary in the data is differentiated and cointegrated so that there is a theoretical relationship between variables. VECM is used to measure how to price deviations can return to a state of equilibrium (Hendy, 2000):

Where:

Y_t = the dependent variable is the price of Domestic Arabica coffee in period t. (\$/kg)

X_t = the independent variable in the price of international Arabica coffee in the period t. (\$/kg)

$\Delta Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$

$\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-1}$

δECT = the adjustment mechanism for imbalances that occur in the t-n period

(long-term adjustment mechanism)

VECM analysis explains the relationship between long-term dynamic equilibrium and short-term equilibrium in a system of equations. Although there is a long-term equilibrium between markets, there is a deviation from a short-term equilibrium relationship. It can be said that the cointegration equation is an explanation or benchmark of the long-term equilibrium relationship between markets. In contrast, the short-term equilibrium relationship may vary significantly. Based on this explanation, it can be concluded that VECM is a combination of short-term and long-term relationships between price variables from different markets (Irawan, 2007).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Stationary Data

The first step that must be done to get the VECM estimate is to test the stationarity of the data of each variable, both the dependent variable and the independent variable. Stationary data is needed to influence the results of the VECM estimation test. In this study, to detect whether or not each stationary variable data is used, the ADF test (Augmented Dickey-Fuller) is used. The ADF stationary test of each variable can be shown by the Table 1.

Table 1 ADF Test Results at Level.

Method				Statistic	Prob.**
Arabika					
ADF - Fisher Chi-square				6.98749	0.1366
ADF - Choi Z-stat				-1.31752	0.0938
Series	Prob.	Lag	Max Lag	Obs	
X1	0.2156	0	6	30	
Y1	0.1409	0	6	30	
Robusta					
ADF - Fisher Chi-square				9.05825	0.0597
ADF - Choi Z-stat				-1.56034	0.0593
Series	Prob.	Lag	Max Lag	Obs	
X2	0.3825	0	6	30	
Y2	0.0282	3	6	27	

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

Note: X1 is Domestic Arabica Coffee Prices

Y1 is World Arabica Coffee Prices

X2 is Domestic Robusta Coffee Prices

Y2 is World Robusta Coffee Prices

Table 1 shows the variable prices of domestic and world Arabica coffee are not stationary at the level of levels because the probability value greater than 0.05% is indicated at the X1 value of 0.1366 and Y1 with a value of 0.0938. Because the variables X₁ and Y1 are not stationary on the ADF level testing. Likewise, also with Robusta coffee. Based on the Stationary Test using the ADF test, the results show that the domestic and world Robusta coffee price variables are not stationary at the level because the probability value is greater than 0.05% (X2 0.0597, and Y2 0.0593). Because the variables X2 and Y2 are not stationary on the ADF level testing. Furthermore, it requires a data differential at the first difference level. The ADF test results at the physical difference level can be seen in the Table 2.

Table 2. ADF Test at the First Difference Level

Method			Statistic	Prob.**
Arabica				
ADF - Fisher Chi-square			43.1986	0.0000
ADF - Choi Z-stat			-5.79331	0.0000
Series	Prob.	Lag	Max Lag	Obs
D(X1)	0.0001	0	6	29
D(Y1)	0.0000	0	6	29
Robusta				
ADF - Fisher Chi-square			28.9476	0.0000
ADF - Choi Z-stat			-4.49785	0.0000
Series	Prob.	Lag	Max Lag	Obs
D(X2)	0.0003	0	6	29
D(Y2)	0.0015	1	6	28

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

X1 Domestic Arabica Coffee Prices

Y1 World Arabica Coffee Prices

X2 Domestic Robusta Coffee Prices

Y2 World Robusta Coffee Prices

Stationary test at the first difference level show that the two variables are stationary, this can be seen from the probability value that is smaller than 0.05 by 0.0001 at X1 and 0.0000 at Y1 for Arabica coffee and the probability value is less than 0.05 with values of 0.0003 at X2 and 0.0015 at

Y2 for Robusta coffee. Based on the stationarity test, it can be concluded that all data variables used are stationary in the order I (1) so that it can be said to be free from pseudo regression.

3.2. Optimal Lag Test

The length of the lag is used to determine the time needed for the effect of each variable on its past variable. In this study, the determination of lag length is done by looking at the smallest FPE, AIC, SC, HQ, and LR criterion values, or can be indicated by the most asterisks (*) in the analysis results. The optimal lag length can be shown in the Table 3.

Table 3. Optimal Lag Test

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
Arabica						
0	-65.25073	NA	0.744077	5.380058	5.477568	5.407103
1	-51.21080	24.71027*	0.334016*	4.576864*	4.869394*	4.658000*
2	-50.33367	1.403415	0.432518	4.826693	5.314244	4.961919
3	-48.31135	2.912136	0.516790	4.984908	5.667479	5.174224
4	-42.75347	7.114083	0.473344	4.860278	5.737868	5.103684
5	-41.85498	1.006315	0.645047	5.108398	6.181009	5.405895
6	-38.70855	3.020573	0.760552	5.176684	6.444315	5.528271
Robusta						
0	-23.92824	NA	0.029749	2.160686	2.258857	2.186731
1	-10.33583	23.78671	0.013409*	1.361319	1.655833*	1.439454*
2	-9.113317	1.935647	0.017067	1.592776	2.083632	1.723001
3	-6.932214	3.089896	0.020312	1.744351	2.431549	1.926665
4	1.773767	10.88248*	0.014312	1.352186*	2.235726	1.586590
5	4.762642	3.237948	0.016708	1.436446	2.516329	1.722940
6	7.542623	2.548315	0.020687	1.538115	2.814340	1.876698
7	8.746885	0.903197	0.031055	1.771093	3.243660	2.161765

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)

FPE: Final prediction error

AIC: Akaike information criterion

SC: Schwarz information criterion

HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Based on the explanation Nendissa (2019) above can be seen that the test results listed in the table above show the Optimal Lag Test used is lag one because it has the FPE, AIC, SC, smallest HQ, and the largest LR criteria, or can be indicated by the most asterisks (*) in the results test. The optimal lag test shows in Lag 1 which means that all variables in this study affect each other not only in the current period, but the price variables are interrelated in the previous period-based on table 5. it can be seen that the test results listed in the above table show the Optimal Lag Test used is lag one because it has the FPE, AIC, SC, smallest HQ, and the largest LR criteria, or can be indicated by the most asterisks (*) on the test results. The use of lag one as the optimum lag in the model means that all the variables in the equation affect one another not only in the current period, but the price variables are interrelated in one previous period.

3.3. Johansen's Cointegration Test

The purpose of the cointegration test in this study is to determine whether groups of variables that are not stationary at these levels meet the requirements of the integration process, i.e., where all variables have been stationary to the same degree, namely degrees 1, I (1). Johansen's Cointegration Test are used to determine whether variables that are not stationary have a long-term relationship or not. Long-term information is obtained by first determining the cointegration rank to find out how many systems equations can explain the whole existing system. The cointegration testing criteria in this study are based on trace statistics. If the trace statistic is greater than the critical value of 5 percent, then an

alternative hypothesis stating the amount 7 cointegration is accepted so that it can be seen how many equations are cointegrated in the system. This test is to determine whether or not there is a long-term influence on the variables we will examine. If it is proven that there is cointegration, the VECM stage can be continued. Nevertheless, if it is not proven, then VECM cannot be continued. Cointegration test results of domestic Arabica coffee prices with the world can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Johansen's Cointegration Test

Arabica				
Hypothesized	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	Critical Value	Prob.**
No. of CE(s)				
None	0.208764	12.35466	15.49471	0.1407
At most 1 *	0.174581	5.564069	3.841466	0.0183
Hypothesized	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	Critical Value	Prob.**
No. of CE(s)				
None	0.208764	6.790596	14.26460	0.5142
At most 1 *	0.174581	5.564069	3.841466	0.0183
Robusta				
None *	0.458103	23.21122	15.49471	0.0028
At most 1 *	0.171143	5.443525	3.841466	0.0196
Hypothesized	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	Critical Value	Prob.**
No. of CE(s)				
None *	0.458103	17.76769	14.26460	0.0134
At most 1 *	0.171143	5.443525	3.841466	0.0196

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

* shows significant at 1% level

** shows a significant at the level of 5%

*** shows a significant at the level of 10%

Johansen's cointegration test based on both trace statistics and max-eigen value on domestic and world Arabica coffee prices indicate that there is one cointegration at rank = 1 ($r = 1$). This can be seen from the Trace Statistic is greater than the Critical Value of 5% and the probability value that is less than 5% (5.564069 > 3.841466, and the Prob value 0.0183), as well as the Max-Eigen Statistics. Based on these results, there is a long-term relationship or balance in the price of domestic and world Arabica coffee. However, in the short term, there may not be a balance.

Johansen's cointegration test also results based on both trace statistics and max-eigen value on domestic and world Arabica coffee prices indicate that there are two cointegration at rank = 1 ($r = 1$), and at rank = 0 (none). This can be seen from the Trace Statistics that are greater than the Critical Value of 5% and the probability value is less than 5% with a value of 23.21122 > 15.49471, and the Prob value. 0.0028 at rank = 0 (none) and a value of 5.443525 > 3.841466, and a Prob value. 0.0196 at rank = 1 ($r = 1$), as well as Max-Eigen Statistics with a value of 17.76769 > 14.26460, and Prob. 0.0134 at rank = 0 (none) and value of 5.443525 > 3.841466, and a Prob value. 0.0196 at rank = 1 ($r = 1$). Based on these results, there is a long-term relationship or balance in the price of domestic and world Arabica coffee

3.4. Granger Causality Test

Granger causality test is performed to see the relationship between two variables, whether they have a reciprocal relationship. This test can be used to see whether price developments in a market can cause changes or price movements in other markets by seeing these prices as exogenous and endogenous variables.

15
Table 5. Granger Causality Test

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
Arabica			
Y1 does not Granger Cause X1	30	0.43745	0.5140
X1 does not Granger Cause Y1		0.74225	0.3965
Robusta			
Y2 does not Granger Cause X2	30	0.00652	0.9362
X2 does not Granger Cause Y2		0.00017	0.9898

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

X1 Domestic Arabica Coffee Prices

Y1 World Arabica Coffee Prices

X2 Domestic Robusta Coffee Prices

Y2 World Robusta Coffee Prices

Table 5 shows the probability value of domestic Arabica coffee prices to the world and vice versa greater than 0.05 (insignificant) Y1 to X1 of 0.5140 and X1 to Y1 of 0.3965. Independent or, in other words, there is no influence between each other. Likewise with robusta coffee 0.05 (insignificant) Y2 to X2 of 0.9362 and X2 to Y2 of 0.9898. Independent, or in other words, there is no influence between each other.

3.5. Test Vector Error Correction Model

In determining the lag, the results obtained show lag one, which has a value following the criteria. Then, for VECM modelling, it will use lag 1. Numbers in the table - which do not have brackets are parameter values. The numbers in () in the table are standard errors, and the numbers in [] in the table are values from t_{count} . The results of the VECM model parameter estimation can be explained in the Table 6.

Table 6. Test Vector Error Correction Model (Long Term)

Cointegrating Eq:	CointEq1	Cointegrating Eq:	CointEq1
Arabika		Robusta	
X1(-1)	1.000000	X2(-1)	1.000000
Y1(-1)	0.126524 (0.33617) [0.37636]	Y2(-1)	-1.347627 (0.27711) [-4.86320]

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

X1 Domestic Arabica Coffee Prices

Y1 World Arabica Coffee Prices

X2 Domestic Robusta Coffee Prices

Y2 World Robusta Coffee Prices

- number in () is the standard error and

- number in [] is the value of t-count

VECM test in Table 6 show that the error correction (Error Correction Term) on domestic and world Arabica coffee prices is not significant at the real level either 1%, 5%, or 10% with a value of 0.33617 or in 15 her words it is still in the standard error by 30%. This insignificant ECT value indicates that there is no significant relationship between domestic Arabica coffee prices and world Arabica coffee prices. The table above is the VECM test results that show a long-term relationship. Based on table 6 it can also be seen that there is no significant value on the test results either in the X1 (-1) variable or in the Y1 (-1) variable, or in other words, not there is a long-term relationship between the price of domestic Arabica coffee with the world. In Robusta coffee, the VECM test results listed in table 13 show that the Error Correction Term on domestic and world Arabica coffee prices is not significant at either 1%, 5%, or 10% levels with a value of 0.27711. This insignificant ECT value indicates that there is no significant relationship between domestic Arabica coffee prices and world

Arabica coffee prices. The table above shows the VECM test results that show a long-term relationship. Based on table 6 it can also be seen that there is no significant value in the test results either in the X2 (-1) variable or in the Y2 (-1) variable, or in other words, it is not there is a long-term relationship between domestic and world Robusta coffee prices.

Table 7. Vector Error Correction Model Test (Short Term)

Error Correction:	D(X1)	D(Y1)	D(X1)	D(Y1)
	Arabica		Robusta	
CointEq1	-0.319396 (0.13223) [-2.41554]	-0.051128 (0.20881) [-0.24486]	-0.028647 (0.08579) [-0.33391]	0.306053 (0.10436) [2.93272]
D(X1(-1))	0.002284 (0.19521) [0.01170]	0.332030 (0.30826) [1.07711]	-0.189362 (0.22245) [-0.85126]	-0.001483 (0.27059) [-0.00548]
D(Y1(-1))	0.129788 (0.12885) [1.00728]	-0.275292 (0.20347) [-1.35296]	0.294161 (0.16678) [1.76375]	0.208683 (0.20288) [1.02862]
C	0.016363 (0.11087) [0.14759]	-0.020063 (0.17508) [-0.11459]	0.061957 (0.06937) [0.89315]	-0.042002 (0.08438) [-0.49776]
R-squared	0.204840		0.089648	

Source: Secondary data, processed in 2019

X1 Domestic Arabica Coffee Prices

Y1 World Arabica Coffee Prices

X2 Domestic Robusta Coffee Prices

Y2 World Robusta Coffee Prices

- number in () is the standard error and

- number in [] is the value of t-count

Based on Table 7 it can also be seen that there is no significant value in lag 1, in the price of domestic Arabica coffee or the price of world Arabica coffee (0.19521 X1 against the price, 0.30826 X1 against Y1, and 0.12885 Y1 against the price itself and 0.20347 against X1) which indicates the absence of short-term relationships that affect each other prices or the price itself VECM test results in Table 7 if seen each of the variables in the short term in the table can be seen in integration equation, there is significant at the level of 10% with a value of 0.08579, which means that there is a short-term relationship between world Robusta coffee prices and prices domestic Robusta coffee one year before. This shows that an increase of US \$ 1 in the world Robusta coffee price in the previous year will cause a decrease in the current Robusta coffee price of US \$ 0.306. The results of the analysis after testing at each stage show conclusions that are inversely proportional to hypotheses or provisional estimates, on the other hand, support some data and literature statements Granger causality test shows that there is no relationship of influence or influence between variables, in other words, it is independent. This supports data that the world coffee market does not depend on export volumes from Indonesia, based on the ITC (International Trade Centre, 2015) which is also supported by research from Pradityarjuna: Integration of the Indonesian and US Coffee Markets and the Effects of Exchange Rate Shocks on Prices Indonesian Coffee 2016, Indonesia is only ranked 5th as a coffee importing country to the United States or as the largest coffee importing country in the world, indirectly reducing the volume of Indonesian exports has little effect or even does not reduce the consumption of volume of American coffee imports, and vice versa, both Arabica and Robusta coffee. Overall, from the VECM analysis results on the price of Arabica coffee and Robusta coffee on the domestic and world markets, there is no long-term relationship. VECM analysis also shows that there is no short-term relationship between domestic and world Arabica coffee. Compared with Robusta coffee prices, domestic and world Robusta coffee prices have a short-term relationship. This indicates in the short

term between the price of domestic Robusta coffee and integrated world Robusta coffee. Data support this explanation that according to ICO (2015) the amount of world coffee consumption is dominated by Arabica coffee with almost 70%, while world Robusta coffee consumption is only 28%, inversely proportional to the number of Indonesian coffee exports to the world market which is almost 70 % is held by Robusta coffee, and 30% is Arabica (AEKI, 2016). The results of the analysis show the suitability that Robusta coffee between Indonesia and the world is integrated. The results of the VECM analysis show a short-term relationship. The short-term relationship of Indonesian Robusta coffee prices with international ones still insufficient since the Indonesian coffee market was still very dependent on the international market. This is still very far from the government's expectation of the contribution of coffee commodity as one of the country's foreign exchange earning commodities, the relationship between the price of both Arabica and Robusta coffee in the Domestic and International markets is still classified as a market failure because it is still not integrated into the long and short term in Arabica coffee and the long run for Robusta coffee. Market Failure indicates that asymmetric information in the relationship between the two markets, or the transmission of prices did not channel correctly (Anindita,2017).

CONCLUSION

This study wants to examine the integration¹³ of the Arabica and Robusta coffee markets in Indonesia with world coffee prices, using secondary data in the form of annual time series data from 1985-2015. The VECM used to analyse the data. This method explains the relationship between long-term dynamic equilibrium and short-term equilibrium in a system of equations. The results show the integration of Indonesian Arabica coffee prices with the world and the integration of Indonesian Robusta coffee prices with the world with the vector error correction model (VECM). The following conclusions were:

Integration of Indonesian Arabica Coffee Prices

1. In the Johansen cointegration test on Indonesian and world Arabica coffee, the results of the analysis showed a significant, or in another sense, the price of Indonesian Arabica and world cointegration occurred.
2. Analysis continues to VECM, the Indonesian Arabica coffee and the world estimates show that there is no significant, indicating that there is no important relationship between the price of Indonesian Arabica coffee and the world, both in the long term or short term.

Integration of Robusta Coffee Prices

1. In the Johansen cointegration test of Indonesian and world Robusta coffee, the results of the analysis indicate a significant, or in another sense, the price of Robusta coffee in Indonesia and the world of cointegration occurs.
2. In Robusta coffee VECM estimation analysis shows that there is significant at the level of 10% in a long-term relationship with a value of 0.08579, which means that there is a short-term relationship between the world Robusta coffee prices and domestic Robusta coffee prices in the previous year. However, there is no long-term relationship.

REFERENCES

- Anindita, R., & Baladina, N. (2017). Pemasaran produk pertanian. Penerbit. Andi. Yogyakarta.
- Asosiasi Eksportir Kopi Indonesia. 2016. Konsumsi Kopi Domestik (ID): AEKI. http://www.aeki-aice.org/industri_kopi_aeki.html
- Amiti, M., Redding, S. J., & Weinstein, D. E. (2019). The Impact of the 2018 Tariffs on Prices and Welfare. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(4), 187-210.
- Atmadji, E., Priyadi, U., & Achiria, S. (2019). Perdagangan Kopi Vietnam Dan Indonesia Di Empat Negara Tujuan Ekspor Kopi Utama: Penerapan Model Constant Market Share. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi Dan Pembangunan*, 19(1), 38-48.
- Baffes, J., Kshirsagar, V., & Mitchell, D. (2019). What drives local food prices? Evidence from the Tanzanian maize market. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 33(1), 160-184.
- Baulch, B. (1997). Transfer costs, spatial arbitrage, and testing for food market integration. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 79(2), 477-487.

- Bukenya, J. O. (2020). Market Integration in the Staple Food Derivatives Markets in Uganda. *Journal of Food Distribution Research*, 51(1).
- Chai, J., Wei, Z., Hu, Y., Su, S., & Zhang, Z. G. (2019). Is China's natural gas market globally connected?. *Energy Policy*, 132, 940-949.
- de Lima, L. M., de Pellegrini Elias, L., e Silva, M. M. C. D., da Silva, K. V., & Pacheco, A. S. V. (2020). Behavioral aspects of the coffee consumer in different countries: The case of Brazil. In *Coffee Consumption and Industry Strategies in Brazil* (pp. 321-341). Woodhead Publishing.
- Fafchamps, M. (1992). Cash crop production, food price volatility, and rural market integration in the third world. *American journal of agricultural economics*, 74(1), 90-99.
- Gardner, B. L., & Brooks, K. M. (1994). Food prices and market integration in Russia: 1992-93. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 76(3), 641-646.
- Grabs, J. (2020). *Selling Sustainability Short?: The Private Governance of Labor and the Environment in the Coffee Sector*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hardianti, I. D. (2016). Upaya Brazil Untuk Mempertahankan Posisi Produsen Terbesar Dalam Pasar Kopi Global. *Jurnal Universitas Airlangga*, 186-196.
- Hutabarat, B. (2016). Analisis Saling-Pengaruh Harga Kopi Indonesia dan Dunia. *Jurnal Agro Ekonomi*, 24(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.21082/jae.v24n1.2006.21-40>
- Jiang, Y., Jiang, C., Nie, H., & Mo, B. (2019). The time-varying linkages between global oil market and China's commodity sectors: Evidence from DCC-GJR-GARCH analyses. *Energy*, 166, 577-586.
- Kustiari, R. (2016). Perkembangan Pasar Kopi Dunia dan Implikasinya bagi Indonesia. *Forum Penelitian Agro Ekonomi*, 25(1), 43. <https://doi.org/10.21082/fae.v25n1.2007.43-55>.
- Lourenzani, A. E. B. S., Watanabe, K., Pigatto, G. A. S., & de Godoi Pereira, M. E. (2020). What fills your cup of coffee? The potential of geographical indication for family farmers' market access. In *Coffee Consumption and Industry Strategies in Brazil* (pp. 149-165). Woodhead Publishing.
- Masri, H. M. (2019). *Evaluating post-harvest practices on the quality and safety of Kona coffee* (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Tech).
- McCook, S. (2019). *Coffee is not forever: a global history of the coffee leaf rust*. Ohio University Press.
- Meiri, A., Nuralina, R., & Rifin, A. (2014). Analisis Perdagangan Kakao Indonesia di Pasar Internasional. 1(1), 29-40. <https://doi.org/10.21082/jtidp.v4n1.2013.p39-46>
- Mercure, J. F., Paim, M. A., Bocquillon, P., Lindner, S., Salas, P., Martinelli, P., ... & Ribeiro, J. M. P. (2019). System complexity and policy integration challenges: the Brazilian Energy-Water-Food Nexus. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 105, 230-243.
- Ozturk, O. (2020). Market integration and spatial price transmission in grain markets of Turkey. *Applied Economics*, 52(18), 1936-1948.
- Rapsomanikis, G., Hallam, D., & Conforti, P. (2006). Market integration and price transmission in selected food and cash crop markets of developing countries: review and applications. *Agricultural Commodity Markets and Trade*, 187-217.
- Ravallion, M. (1986). Testing Market Integration. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 68(1), 102-109. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1241654>
- Sembiring, S. A., Harianto, Siregar, H., & Saragih, B. (2008). Dampak Kebijakan Pemerintah Melalui Instruksi Presiden Tahun 2005-2008. *Forum Pascasarjana*, 35(1), 15-24.
- Smith, S. C., & Todaro, M. P. (2020). *Economic Development*, The Pearson Series in Economics 2011.
- Susilowati, S. H. (2007). *Dampak Kebijakan Ekonomi di Sektor Agroindustri terhadap Distribusi Pendapatan dan Kemiskinan di Indonesia*. Thesis.
- Torga, G. N., & Spers, E. E. (2020). Perspectives of global coffee demand. In *Coffee Consumption and Industry Strategies in Brazil* (pp. 21-49). Woodhead Publishing.
- Van Campenhout, B. (2007). Modelling trends in food market integration: Method and an application to Tanzanian maize markets. *Food policy*, 32(1), 112-127.
- Wahyudi, A., Wulandari, S., Aunillah, A., & Alouw, J. C. (2020, January). Sustainability certification as a pillar to promote Indonesian coffee competitiveness. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 418, No. 1, p. 012009). IOP Publishing.

Integration of Indonesian Coffee Markets with World Coffee Markets

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

16%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

8%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	kipdf.com Internet Source	2%
2	Submitted to University of Aberdeen Student Paper	1%
3	repository.unisbablitar.ac.id Internet Source	1%
4	koreascience.kr Internet Source	1%
5	journal.uinjkt.ac.id Internet Source	1%
6	insightsociety.org Internet Source	1%
7	download.garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet Source	1%
8	repository.umy.ac.id Internet Source	1%
9	Submitted to Universitas Brawijaya Student Paper	1%

10	journal.unj.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	repo-dosen.ulm.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
12	Submitted to Lambung Mangkurat University Student Paper	1 %
13	erepository.uonbi.ac.ke:8080 Internet Source	1 %
14	www.acadlore.com Internet Source	1 %
15	ijrcm.org.in Internet Source	1 %
16	uniassignment.com Internet Source	1 %
17	vm36.upi.edu Internet Source	1 %
18	cesmaa.org Internet Source	1 %
19	Deassy Apriani, Feny Marissa, Gustriani Gustriani, Harta Sinta. "Determinants of Indonesia's Coffee Commodity with Trading Partner Countries", Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan: Kajian Masalah Ekonomi dan Pembangunan, 2022 Publication	1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off